

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/002,741	TATENO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Inder P. Mehra	2666	

All Participants:

- (1) Inder P. Mehra, Assistant Examiner.
- (2) Dexter Chang, Attorney, Regd. No. 44,071.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 31 January 2006

Time: 4 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
- ☐ Video Conference
- ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Discussed antecedent basis in claims 9-11, and impropriety of using "node device" in dwependent claims 10 and 11.

Claims discussed:

claims 9-11.

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed antecedent basis in claims 9-11, and impropriety of using "node device" in dwependent claims 10 and 11. No agreement was reached..

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Inder Pal Mehra 3/1/06

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)